
Exova Warringtonfire Holmesfield Road Warrington WA1 2DS United Kingdom T:+44 (0 1925 655116 F:+44 (0) 1925 655419 E:warrington@exova.com W:www.exova.com



BS 476: Part 7: 1997



Method For Classification Of The Surface Spread Of Flame Of Products

A Report To: Teksan Jenerator Elektrik San.ve Tic. A.S.

Document Reference: 310740

Date: 12th September 2011

Issue No.: 2

Page 1







Executive Summary

Objective

To determine the surface spread of flame classification of the following product when tested in accordance with BS 476: Part 7: 1997.

Generic I	Description		Product reference	Thickness	Weight per unit area or density
Flame polyureth	retardant ane foam	grade	"Acoustic Foam"	20mm	100kg/m ³
Please see page 5 of this test report for the full description of the product tested					

Test Sponsor Teksan Jenerator Elektrik San ve Tic. A.S., Yenidogan Mah, Edebali Cad, No:12

PK:34791 Sancaktepe, Istanbul, Turkey

Test Results: Class 1

Date of Test 2nd September 2011

Signatories

Responsible Officer

T. Benyon *

Technical Officer

Authorised D. J. Owen *

Senior Technical Officer

Report Issued: 12th September 2011

Ain Benjos

This version of the report has been produced from a .pdf format electronic file that has been provided by **Exova Warringtonfire** to the sponsor of the report and must only be reproduced in full. Extracts or abridgements of reports must not be published without permission of **Exova Warringtonfire**. The original signed paper version of this report, which includes signatures in blue ink, is the sole authentic version. Only original paper versions of this report bear authentic signatures of the responsible **Exova Warringtonfire** staff.

Document No.: 310740 Page No.: 2 of 9

Author: T. Benyon Issue Date: 12th September 2011

Client: Teksan Jenerator Elektrik

San ve Tic. A.S.

Issue No.:

: 2



^{*} For and on behalf of Exova Warringtonfire.



CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
SIGNATORIES	2
TEST DETAILS	4
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SPECIMENS	5
TEST RESULTS	6
APPENDIX 1 – TEST RESULTS	7
APPENDIX 2 – CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA	8
REVISION HISTORY	9

Document No.: 310740 Page No.: 3 of 9

Author: T. Benyon Issue Date: 12th September 2011

Issue No.:

2

Client: Teksan Jenerator Elektrik

San ve Tic. A.S.





Test Details

Purpose of test

To determine the performance of a product when it is subjected to the conditions of the test specified in BS 476: Part 7: 1997, "Fire tests on building materials and structures, method for classification of the surface spread of flame of products". This test was therefore performed in accordance with the procedure specified in BS 476: Part 7: 1997, and this report should be read in conjunction with that British Standard.

Scope of test

BS 476: Part 7: 1997 specifies a method of test for measuring the lateral spread of flame along the surface of a specimen of a product orientated in the vertical position, and a classification system based on the rate and extent of flame spread. It provides data suitable for comparing the performances of essentially flat materials, composites, or assemblies, which are used primarily as the exposed surfaces of walls or ceilings.

Fire test study group/EGOLF

Certain aspects of some fire test specifications are open to different interpretations. The Fire Test Study Group and EGOLF have identified a number of such areas and have agreed Resolutions which define common agreement of interpretations between fire test laboratories which are members of the Groups. Where such Resolutions are applicable to this test they have been followed.

Instruction to test

The test was conducted on the 2nd September 2011 at the request of Teksan Jenerator Elektrik San ve Tic. A.S., the sponsor of the test.

Provision of test specimens

The specimens were supplied by the sponsor of the test. **Exova Warringtonfire** was not involved in any selection or sampling procedure.

Conditioning specimens

The specimens for testing to BS 476: Part 6: 1989+A1: 2009 together with the specimens for testing to BS 476: Part 7: 1997 were received on the 19th August 2011.

Prior to the tests, all of the specimens were conditioned to constant mass at a temperature of 23 \pm 2°C and a relative humidity of 50 \pm 5%. One specimen from the total sample submitted for test was selected for constant mass verification.

Form in which the specimens were tested

Material - Single substance or uniformly dispersed mixture, e.g. metal, stone, timber, concrete, mineral fibre, polymers. Each specimen was tested in direct contact with a nominally 12mm thick non-combustible backing board.

Exposed face

One of two identical faces of the specimens was exposed to the heating conditions of the test.

2

Document No.: 310740 Page No.: 4 of 9

Author: T. Benyon Issue Date: 12th September 2011

Issue No.:

Client: Teksan Jenerator Elektrik

San ve Tic. A.S.





Description of Test Specimens

The description of the specimens given below has been prepared from information provided by the sponsor of the test. All values quoted are nominal, unless tolerances are given.

General description	Flame retardant grade polyurethane foam
Product reference	"Acoustic Foam"
Composition details	Flexible polyurethane foam,inorganic
	Hydroxide, binder, pigment & surfactane
Name of manufacturer	Teksan Jenerator Elektrik San. ve Tic. A.S.
Density	100kg/m ³ (stated by sponsor)
	141kg/m³ (determined by Exova Warringtonfire)
Thickness	20mm (stated by sponsor)
	18.6mm (determined by Exova Warringtonfire)
Colour	"Black"
Flame retardant details	See Note 1 below
Brief description of manufacturing process	Flame retardant (Aluminium hydroxide) is infiltrated to
	polyurethane foam

Note 1: The sponsor of the test has provided this information but at the specific request of the sponsor, these details have been omitted from the report and are instead held on the confidential file relating to this investigation.

Document No.: 310740 Page No.: 5 of 9

Author: T. Benyon Issue Date: 12th September 2011

Client: Teksan Jenerator Elektrik

San ve Tic. A.S.

Issue No.:

2





Test Results

Results observations

and

The test results for the individual specimens, together with observations made during the test and comments on any difficulties encountered during the test are given in Appendix 1.

Classification

In accordance with the class definitions given in BS 476: Part 7: 1997, the specimens tested are classified as Class 1.

Criteria classification

If the prefix 'D' or suffix 'R' or 'Y' is included in the classification, this indicates that the results should be treated with caution. An explanation of the reason for the prefix and suffixes is given in Appendix 2, together with the classification limits specified in the Standard.

Applicability test result

The test results relate only to the behaviour of the test specimens of the product under the particular conditions of test; they are not intended to be the sole criterion for assessing the potential fire hazard of the product in use.

The test results relate only to the specimens of the product in the form in which they were tested. Small differences in the composition or thickness of the product may significantly affect the performance during the test and may therefore invalidate the test results. Care should be taken to ensure that any product which is supplied or used is fully represented by the specimens which were tested.

Validity

The specification and interpretation of fire test methods are the subject of ongoing development and refinement. Changes in associated legislation may also occur. For these reasons it is recommended that the relevance of test reports over five years old should be considered by the user. The laboratory that issued the report will be able to offer, on behalf of the legal owner, a review of the procedures adopted for a particular test to ensure that they are consistent with current practices, and if required may endorse the test report.

This report may only be reproduced in full. Extracts or abridgements shall not be published without permission of Exova Warringtonfire.

310740 6 of 9 Document No.: Page No.:

Author: 12th September 2011 T. Benyon Issue Date:

Client: Teksan Jenerator Elektrik

San ve Tic. A.S.



2





Appendix 1 – Test Results

SPECIMEN No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
Maximum distance travelled at 1.5 minutes (mm)	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
Distance (mm)	Time to travel to indicated distance (minutes : seconds)					
75 165 190 215 240 265 290 375 455 500 525 600 675 710 750 785 825						
Time to reach maximum distance travelled	1:00	1:00	1:00	1:00	1:00	1:00
Maximum distance travelled in 10 minutes (mm)	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50

Note: Six specimens are usually tested. If the test on any specimen is deemed to be invalid, as defined in the Standard, it is permissible for up to a maximum of nine specimens to be tested in order to obtain the six valid test results.

2

Observations made during test and comments on any difficulties encountered during the test:

None.

Document No.: 310740 Page No.: 7 of 9

Author: T. Benyon Issue Date: 12th September 2011

Client: Teksan Jenerator Elektrik Issue No.:

San ve Tic. A.S.





Appendix 2 – Classification Criteria

Classification spread of flame	of		Spread of Flame at 1.5 min		Final Spread of Flame	
		Classification	Limit (mm)	Limit for one specimen (mm)	Limit (mm)	Limit for one specimen (mm)
		Class 1 Class 2 Class 3	165 215 265	165 + 25 215 + 25 265 + 25	165 455 710	165 + 25 455 + 45 710 + 75
		Class 4	Exceeding the	limits for class 3		

Explanation of prefix and suffixes which may be added to the classification

- 1. A suffix R is added to the classification if more than six specimens are required in order to obtain six valid test results (e.g. class 2R).
- 2. A prefix D is added to the classification of any product which does not comply with the surface characteristics specified in the Standard and has therefore been tested in a modified form (e.g. class D3).
- 3. A suffix Y is added to the classification if any softening and/or other behaviour that may affect the flame spread occurs (e.g. class 3Y).

For example, a classification of D3RY could be achieved indicating (a) a modified surface has been used; (b) a class 3 result has been obtained; (c) additional specimens have been used to obtain 6 valid results and; (d) softening and/or other behaviour has occurred which is considered to have affected the test result.

Document No.: 310740 Page No.: 8 of 9

Author: T. Benyon Issue Date: 12th September 2011

Client: Teksan Jenerator Elektrik

San ve Tic. A.S.

Issue No.:

2



BS 476: Part 7: 1997



Revision History

Issue No : 2	Re-issue Date: 14 th September 2011				
Revised By: T. Benyon	Approved By: D. J. Owen				
Reason for Revision: This document replaces issue 1 (dated 12 th September 2011) of the same number which					
has been withdrawn. The sponsor of the test has requested that the flame retardant details in the issue 1 report be					
removed and kept confidential as detailed in this issue 2 report.					

Issue No :	Re-issue Date:
Revised By:	Approved By:
Reason for Revision:	

Document No.: 310740 Page No.: 9 of 9

Author: T. Benyon Issue Date: 12th September 2011

Client: Teksan Jenerator Elektrik

San ve Tic. A.S.

Issue No.: 2

